

**Model Theory**

Sheet 10

Deadline: 08.01.2026, 2:30 pm.

**Exercise 1** (9 points).

Let  $\lambda > \aleph_0$  be an infinite cardinal. In the first part of this exercise, we will construct a linear order without endpoints of cardinality strictly bigger than  $\lambda$  with a dense subset of size at most  $\lambda$ .

In order to do so, choose a cardinal  $\mu$  smallest possible with  $2^\mu > \lambda$  (why does such a  $\mu$  exist?) and consider the set

$$P = \{f : \mu \rightarrow 2 \mid \text{there is no } \alpha < \mu \text{ s.t. } f(\beta) = 1 \text{ for all } \beta > \alpha\}$$

a) Show that

$$f < g \Leftrightarrow \text{there is an } \alpha < \mu \text{ such that } f \upharpoonright_\alpha = g \upharpoonright_\alpha \text{ and } f(\alpha) < g(\alpha)$$

defines a linear order on  $P$  without endpoints.

b) Prove that  $Q = \{f \in P \mid \text{there is an } \alpha < \mu \text{ such that } f(\beta) = 0 \text{ for all } \beta > \alpha\}$  is dense in  $P$  (with respect to the order topology on  $P$  whose basis is given by all open intervals).

c) Show that  $P$  has cardinality  $2^\mu > \lambda$  whereas  $Q$  has cardinality at most  $\lambda$ .

We now consider the theory DLO of dense linear orders without endpoints in the language  $\mathcal{L} = \{<\}$ .

d) Show that for every infinite linear order  $I$  there is a model  $\mathcal{M}$  of DLO with an increasing indiscernible sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ .

e) Using the previously defined ordering  $P$ , conclude that there is no infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  such that DLO is  $\lambda$ -stable.

**Exercise 2** (6 points).

Given an infinite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  and a subset  $A$  of  $M$ , recall that  $\text{acl}^\mathcal{M}(A)$  is the algebraic closure of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  (see Sheet 8, Exercise 3).

a) Given an elementary map  $F : A \rightarrow B$ , for some subset  $B$  of  $M$ , show that  $F$  can be extended to an elementary map

$$\tilde{F} : \text{acl}^\mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \text{acl}^\mathcal{M}(B).$$

**Hint:** Exercise 4 of Sheet 6 + Zorn. You may use that  $\text{acl}^\mathcal{M}(\text{acl}^\mathcal{M}(A)) = \text{acl}^\mathcal{M}(A)$  (as was proven in the last exercise class).

b) Assume now that  $M$  is a saturated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. Give a short proof of the above whenever  $A$  and  $B$  have both cardinality strictly less than  $|M|$ .

**(Please turn the page!)**

**Exercise 3.** (5 points)

Let  $T$  be a totally transcendental complete theory of a group in a countable language  $\mathcal{L}$  which contains the language  $\{e, \cdot, {}^{-1}\}$  of groups and  $\mathcal{G}$  a saturated model of  $T$ . Recall that every subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  defines an equivalence relation

$$g_1 \sim_H g_2 \iff g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1 \in H.$$

The class of  $g_1$  modulo  $\sim_H$  is denoted by  $g_1 \cdot H$  (and is called the  $H$ -coset of  $g_1$ ).

- a) Show that there is no descending chain of proper definable subgroups  $H_1 \supsetneq H_2 \supsetneq H_3 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq H_n \supsetneq \dots$  of  $G$ .

**Hint:** Trees.

- b) Conclude from a) that every intersection of definable subgroups of  $G$  is definable.
- c) For a subset  $A$  of  $G$ , the *centralizer* of  $A$  is the subgroup

$$C_G(A) = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot a = a \cdot g \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A\}.$$

Show that  $C_G(A)$  is always definable. If  $A$  is moreover invariant under all automorphisms of  $G$  with  $|A| < |G|$ , then conclude that  $C_G(A)$  is definable without parameters.